

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,429	02/15/2002	Philip M. Green		• C37780/123357	3592
7	590 01/11/2005			EXAM	INER
CHARLES T. J. WEIGELL			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M		
BRYAN CAV	E LLP				
1290 AVENU	E OF THE AMERICAS	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	, NY 10104			3627	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		PL
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/077,429	GREEN, PHILIP M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	F. Ryan Zeender .	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a roll of thing the statutory minimum of thing I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-10 and 12-28 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-2, 4-10, 12-28 are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 2.	ewn from consideration. ction and/or election requirer. cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	 .	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10, and 12-15, drawn to an accounting system for accounting for a plurality of transactions, classified in class 707, subclass
 1.
- II. Claims 7-9 and 16-28, drawn to a method for accounting for a plurality of transactions, classified in class 705, subclass 30.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, at least one of the steps in the process claim can be performed by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not necessarily required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3627

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1) The specie best depicted by claims 7-9, and 16-22;
- II) The specie best depicted by claim 23;
- III) The specie best depicted by claims 24-28.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/077,429

Art Unit: 3627

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was attempted on 1/2/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,429

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. Zeender Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627

F. RYAN ZEENDER PRIMARY EXAMINER